IV. REMARKS

This document is being submitted in response to the Office Action dated May 06, 2005.

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application. Claims 1-4, 9-16, and 18 currently stand as rejected,

and claims 5-8, 17, 19, and 20 stand as objected to. In response to the present Office Action,

claims 1, 4, 16, and 18 have been cancelled, and claims 2-3, 5, 10-15, 17, 19-20 have been

amended. have been amended. In light of the amendments and remarks made herein, the

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the claims.

Drawings

On page 2 of the present Office Action, the Patent Office identified several issues relating

to the formal drawings. Replacement sheets for FIGS. 2 and 3B, which address these issues are

submitted herewith.

Abstract

On page 2 of the present Office Action, the Patent Office indicated that the length of the

Abstract is unacceptable. Accordingly, a substitute Abstract has been provided in Section III,

above.

Specification

On page 2 of the present Office Action, the Patent Office indicated that two corrections to

the Specification are required. Accordingly, two substitute paragraphs have been provided in

Section III, above.

Allowable Subject Matter

On page 16 of the present Office Action, the Patent Office indicated that claim 5-8, 17,

and 19-20 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, independent claim 5 has been amended

to include the limitations of claims 1 and 4, independent claim 17 has been amended to include

the limitations of claims 9 and 16, and independent claim 19 has been amended to include the

limitations of claim 18. All of the remaining dependent claims are not intervening claims, but

rather dependent claims of the amended independent claims. Thus, the Applicant asserts that

-9-

U.S. Serial No. 09/667,924

because new independent claims are patentable over the cited references, that all of these dependent claims are also patentable.

Conclusion

For the reasons set forth herein, this application is believed to be in condition for allowance, as the claims are believed to define patentably over the relevant prior art. Favorable consideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Date: October 5, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Courtney J. Miller Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 45,366

Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP 1100 Fifth Third Center 21 East State Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

(614) 621-7015